UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/548,403	07/27/2006	Marie Bendix Hansen	036179-0108	7935
	7590 03/29/201 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIVI	KIM, ALEXANDER D		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/29/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/548,403	HANSEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ALEXANDER KIM	1656		

	ALEXANDER KIM	1656	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 March 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperture for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	icalise
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		otod oldiirib.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-13 and 15-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Cher:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Alexander D Kim/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1656	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment after final rejection filed on 03/14/2011 and supplemental reply filed on 03/18/2011, are acknowledged, however, if entered would present new issues for consideration and/or search. In the claims of the amendment filed on 03/14/2011, Claims 1, 15 and 17 have been amended by adding new limitations which are not presented previously. These amendment(s) would result changes in scope of claimed method steps would require new consideration and create new issue(s); and these limitations have yet to be presented for examination on the merits. If the amendment was entered, it would require further consideration and/or a new search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' argument filed on 03/14/2011 is acknowledged. In view of the non-entry of applicants proposed amendment, applicant's argument which is directed to the proposed amended claims, is considered moot and thus ineffective in overcoming the outstanding rejection(s) as set forth in the Office action mailed on 01/12/2011 for the reasons of record stated therein.